

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,928	03/16/2004	Horacio D. Espinosa	NU23104	2330
75	590 03/27/2006		EXAMINER	
Mr. Edward J. Timmer			LARKIN, DANIEL SEAN	
	P.O. Box 770 Richland, MI 49083-0770		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
		DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/801,928	ESPINOSA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel S. Larkin	2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original of the correction and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction is objected to be corrected and the correction is objected and the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmont(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, 56, and 57, drawn to a material dispensing cantilever, classified in class 216, subclass 2.
 - II. Claims 19-22, drawn to a material dispensing microtip, classified in class422, subclass 100.
 - III. Claims 23-36, drawn to a method of making a dispensing device, classified in class 216, subclass 2.
 - IV. Claims 37-39, drawn to a method of making a microchannel, classified in class 216, subclass 2.
 - V. Claims 40-45 and 58, drawn to method of making a microtip, classified in class 216, subclass 8.
 - VI. Claims 46-52, drawn to a method of forming a nanopattern, classified in class 427, subclass 180.
 - VII. Claim 53, drawn to a method of scanning probe microscopy, classified in class 73, subclass 105.
 - VIII. Claims 54 and 55, drawn to a method of applying an electrical stimulus and measuring the electrical response of a surface, classified in class 324, subclass 600.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,928 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I (claims 2-7, 11, 12, and 14-18) and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all of the limitations of Group II are not found in Group I. The subcombination has separate utility such as material dispensing tip, which does not require the use of a cantilever, such as using an etching process to create the cantilever and microchannel.
- 3. Inventions I (i.e. claims 1 (ABbr) and 8-10, 13 (ABsp)) and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because all of the limitations of Group II are not found in ABbr. The subcombination has separate utility such as material dispensing tip, which does not require the use of a cantilever, such as using an etching process to create the cantilever and microchannel.

Art Unit: 2856

4. Inventions I and (III-V) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the material dispensing apparatus can be made by another materially different process, such as etching the cantilever to produce a microchannel. Additionally, the claims of Groups IV and V do not recite a cantilever.

- 5. Inventions I and (VI-VIII) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another materially different process, such as one that utilizes the microchannel in the cantilever to dispense fluid to an analyzing devices, such as a glucose blood meter.
- 6. Inventions II and (III and IV) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the material dispensing apparatus can be made by another materially different process, such as manufacturing the tip as a

Art Unit: 2856

pipette. The process claims recite use of a cantilever with a microchannel, which is not required by the apparatus limitations.

- 7. Inventions II and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the material dispensing apparatus can be made by another materially different process, such as manufacturing the tip as a pipette. The process claims recite forming tips on a substrate and a plurality of films which is not required of the apparatus limitations.
- 8. Inventions II and (VI-VIII) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used to sample fluids from a container functioning as a pipette structure.
- 9. Inventions III and IV are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are

)

Art Unit: 2856

either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process recited in the claims of Group IV are directed to a method of making a microchannel, which has a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; and is therefore distinct from the claims of Group III (making a material dispensing device).

- 10. Inventions (III-IV) and V are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process recited in the claims of Group V are directed to a method of making a microtip, which has a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; and is therefore distinct from the claims of Group III (making a material dispensing device); and the claims of Group IV (making a microchannel).
- 11. Inventions (III-V) and VI are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process recited in the claims of Group VI are directed to a method of forming a

Art Unit: 2856

nanopattern, which has a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; and is therefore distinct from the claims of Group III (making a material dispensing device); the claims of Group IV (making a microchannel); and the claims of Group V (making a microtip).

- 12. Inventions (III-VI) and VII are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process recited in the claims of Group VII are directed to a method of performing scanning probe microscopy of a surface, which has a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; and is therefore distinct from the claims of Group III (making a material dispensing device); the claims of Group IV (making a microchannel); the claims of Group V (making a microtip); and the claims of Group VI (forming a nanopattern).
- 13. Inventions (III-VII) and VIII are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the

Art Unit: 2856

instant case, the process recited in the claims of Group VIII are directed to a method of applying an electrical stimulus and measuring the electrical response of a surface, which has a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; and is therefore distinct from the claims of Group III (making a material dispensing device); the claims of Group IV (making a microchannel); the claims of Group V (making a microtip); the claims of Group VI (forming a nanopattern); and the claims of Group VII (scanning probe microscopy of a surface).

- 14. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 15. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 16. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2856

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Larkin whose telephone number is 571-272-

Page 9

2198. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Larkin AU 2856 17 March 2006

DANIEL S. LARKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER